IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

808888

IN RE APPLICATION OF: JEFFREY A.
LIVESAY

SERIAL NO.: 09/801,016

EXAMINER: ELLA COLBERT

FILED:

6 March 2001

ART UNIT: 3696

FOR: "Method and Process for Providing Relevant Data, Comparing Proposal

Alternatives, and Reconciling Proposals, Invoices, and Purchase Orders with Actual Costs in a Workflow Process" Confirmation No. 1305

§ RESPONSE

8

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Office Communication dated February 3, 2009, the issue of a restriction requirement now being due was settled on October 16, 2008, when claims 22-25, 34, 51, 54 and 64 were cancelled in a response filed by the undersigned Attorney for the Applicant.

The PAIR online system of the USPTO currently reflects the fact that the October 16, 2008 response was received by the USPTO. It is therefore respectfully submitted that there is no outstanding reason for a restriction requirement.

Date

William E. Johnson, Jr.

THE MATTHEWS FIRM (Customer #021897)

Reg. No. 22,719

2000 Bering Drive, Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77057

(713) 355-4200 Telephone (713) 355-9689 Facsimile